# Stat 412/512

#### MULTIFACTOR STUDIES WITHOUT REPLICATION Feb 12 2015

**Charlotte Wickham** 

stat512.cwick.co.nz

### Replication

Multiple measurements at a specific combination of explanatory variable values, are called **replicates**.

- Meadowfoam, seaweed grazers had replicates.
- This chapter (14) focusses on examples without replicates.

#### Meadowfoam

Two factors: Intensity & Timing For each combination we have two **replicates** 



#### Seaweed grazers

Two factors: Microhabitat & Treatment For each combination we have two **replicates** 



# Today

Why is replication good to have? What do you do when you don't have replication?

An example, a two factor study with no replication.

#### Two-factor example with replicates



factor 1: categorical with two levels, A and B.

factor 2: continuous, but • A only set to three levels, 1, • B 2 and 3.

**3 replicates** at each combination of factor 1 and factor 2.

#### Two-factor example without replicates



factor 1: categorical with two levels, A and B.

factor 2: continuous, set

NO replicates.

# Why are replicates good?

**Replicates** allow a "model-free" estimate of variation,  $\sigma^2$ . Lack of fit F-tests are available for any model.

Without replicates we rely on our model being adequate, and using the residuals to estimate,  $\sigma^2$ .

If the saturated model is fitted, there are no degrees of freedom left for estimating  $\sigma^2$ .





# Strategy

We are still working in the multiple regression world.

Fit tentative model, check for transformations outliers, refine and check model. Interpret.

Ways to deal with non-replication:

 $\rightarrow$  Assume some interactions don't exist.

 $\rightarrow$  Treat numerical factors as continuous not categorical.

### case1401: Chimp data

Teach 10 American Sign Language Signs to four chimpanzees.

Response: "time in minutes it took to learn the sign"

Are some signs easier to learn? Do some chimps take longer to learn words?



#### Tentative model

The saturated model: μ{Minutes | Chimp, Sign } = CHIMP + SIGN + CHIMP\*SIGN leaves us with no d.f. to estimate σ. We are going to assume there are **no interactions**, and fit the additive model:

 $\mu$ { Minutes | Chimp, Sign } = CHIMP + SIGN



#### **Residual plot from:**

μ{ Minutes | Chimp, Sign } = CHIMP + SIGN



µ{ log(Minutes) | Chimp, Sign } =
CHIMP + SIGN





We can't evaluate this with an F-test, we have to make an argument based on this plot (or outside knowledge).

#### Analysis of variance for the additive model fit to log(acquisition times)

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-Statistic	p-value
Signs Chimpanzees Residual	45.6900 5.3329 17.6526	9 3 27	5.0767 1.7776 0.6538	7.7649 2.7190	0.00001 0.0642
Total	68.6755	39			

**R-squared** = 74.3%

Estimated SD = 0.8086

There is strong evidence that sign is associated with a change in mean log time to learn word (extra sum of squares F-test on 9 and 27 degrees of freedom, pvalue < 0.0001), after accounting for <u>chimp</u>.

There is weak evidence that chimp is associated with a change in median time to learn word (extra sum of squares F-test on 3 and 27 degrees of freedom, pvalue < 0.06), after accounting for





### Multiple comparisons

If we want to make all pairwise comparisons between Signs we should adjust for multiple comparisons.

Tukey-Kramer is appropriate here.

Multiple comparisons of sign means on the log scale

